PRAGMATIC ADAPTATION IN DETECTIVE FICTION

Gulnorkhon Niyazova

Doctor of Philosophy in Philology (PhD), Tashkent State University of Uzbek Language and Literature named after Alisher Navai.

https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10514935

Abstract. This article deals with the concept of pragmatic adaptation within the genre of detective fiction, examining how this adaptive approach ensures the genre's continued relevance and resonance amidst evolving social norms, reader expectations, and technological advancements. The paper explores how detective fiction reflects changing social values by integrating sensitive topics, gender roles, diversity, and cultural representation into narratives without sacrificing intrigue. It highlights the shift in reader expectations from traditional indifferent detectives to complex, relatable protagonists who navigate personal struggles while solving mysteries.

Keywords: concept of pragmatic adaptation, detective fiction, technological advancements, enigmatic crime, blend detective fiction.

Introduction. Pragmatics, a branch of Linguistics, explores the link between context and meaning, examining how meaning can be implied and interpreted based on context and usage. It's important to recognize that what a speaker intends to convey may not always align with what a listener comprehends. Thus, it's crucial to be mindful of how factors like cultural and social context impact a speaker's message. Within the realm of discourse analysis, various perspectives offer linguistic tools, like conversational rules, to help uncover these connections. While these rules may not be immediately apparent when learning a new language, they play a significant role in gaining a comprehensive understanding of the language and its cultural nuances.

Its intricate plots, clever detectives, and the art of unravel mysteries characterize detective fiction, that a genre has had readers for centuries. However, in an ever-changing literary landscape, the concept of pragmatic adaptation has become fundamental in ensuring the genre's continued relevance and appeal. Pragmatic adaptation refers to the deliberate modifications made to detective fiction to accommodate growing societal norms, reader expectations, and technological advancements without compromising the essence of the genre.

Evolving Societal Norms. Detective fiction has a rich history of reflecting the values and norms of the societies in which it is created. As for society's progress and attitudes change, it is essential for the genre to adapt in order to resonate with contemporary readers. Authors often deal with how to navigate sensitive topics such as gender roles, diversity, and cultural representation. Pragmatic adaptation allows writers to integrate these themes into their narrative topics while maintaining the essence of mystery and suspense. According to this, we can rely on readers' expectations.

Reader Expectations. Readers' expectations of detective fiction have evolved over time, influenced by both classic works and modern interpretations. While traditional detective stories often featured brilliant at the same time aloof detective piecing together clues, modern audiences seek more relatable characters with complex motivations. Pragmatic adaptation acknowledges these changing preferences, leading to the creation of detectives who not only solve crimes but

also grapple with personal struggles, making them more multidimensional and compelling. In that case we disclose by technological advancements.

Technological Advancements. In an era of rapid technological advancement, detective fiction faces the challenge of incorporating modern tools and techniques while preserving the intrigue of traditional investigative methods. Traditional investigative methods in detective fiction have long been integral to the genre, fostering an air of intrigue and mystery. These methods often encompass a range of techniques and approaches that have evolved over time. Some of these traditional investigative methods include:

1. Physical Evidence Examination: This involves scrutinizing crime scenes for physical evidence such as fingerprints, footprints, bloodstains, and other trace evidence. These clues were traditionally gathered and meticulously examined for leads.

2. Interviews and Interrogations: Detectives often rely on interviewing witnesses, suspects, and individuals related to the case. Their skill in questioning and observing behavior is crucial in traditional investigative work.

3. Deductive Reasoning: Drawing on logical reasoning and deductive logic to make inferences from observed facts or evidence, a method famously associated with Sherlock Holmes.

4. Surveillance: Observing suspects, their activities, and movements without their knowledge to gather information and potentially uncover critical leads.

5. Research and Library Investigations: Traditionally, detectives spent time in libraries, examining records, newspapers, and archives for information relevant to the case.

In the current era of rapid technological advancement, detective fiction faces the challenge of incorporating modern tools and techniques while preserving the intrigue of traditional investigative methods. The integration of modern tools, such as DNA analysis, digital forensics, surveillance technology, and data analysis software, has significantly altered the investigative landscape.

This integration raises a balance between the allure of traditional detective work and the efficiency of modern technology. Authors often face the challenge of maintaining the essence of deduction, human insight, and the thrill of the chase, all while integrating modern technology authentically into their narratives.

To successfully blend traditional and modern methods in detective fiction, authors must focus on:

Character Development: Creating compelling characters who use a mix of traditional and modern methods, showcasing the importance of experience and intuition alongside technological expertise.

Plot Construction: Crafting narratives that highlight the strengths and limitations of both traditional and modern investigative methods, showcasing when and how each is most effective.

Maintaining Suspense: Balancing the pace of investigations, allowing room for both traditional legwork and technological advancements without compromising the tension and mystery in the storyline.

By skillfully integrating traditional investigative methods with modern tools and techniques, authors can create forceful narratives that satisfy both the nostalgia for classic detective work and the demands of modern crime-solving, maintaining the allure and intrigue of the genre. Today's detectives must navigate digital footprints, hacking, and surveillance in addition to

traditional sleuthing. Pragmatic adaptation requires a careful balance, ensuring that technology enhances the narrative rather than overpowering it.

Maintaining the Essence. Pragmatic adaptation in the realm of detective fantasy refers to the ability of a detective or investigator within a fantastical or fictional setting to flexibly adjust their investigative methods, problem-solving techniques, and behavior according to the unique and often otherworldly circumstances they encounter.

In detective fantasy, pragmatic adaptation involves:

1. Adapting to Unconventional Environments: Detectives navigate through fantastical realms or worlds that often defy the laws of nature or logic. They must adapt their thinking and investigative methods to these unique environments, such as dealing with magical landscapes, supernatural beings, or futuristic technologies.

2. *Utilizing Unorthodox Resources:* The detectives in these stories may not only rely on traditional investigative tools but also on magical artifacts, otherworldly creatures, or advanced technology unique to the fantasy setting. Adapting to these resources involves understanding and effectively using the unconventional tools available.

3. *Flexibility in Problem-Solving:* Given the existence of magical or otherworldly elements, detectives in fantasy stories must be open-minded and adaptable in their problem-solving approaches. They might need to incorporate spells, incantations, or unconventional logic that goes beyond the usual bounds of reality.

4. *Adjusting to Supernatural Challenges:* In detective fantasy, investigators often encounter cases involving mythical creatures, paranormal occurrences, or magical phenomena. They must adapt their skills and knowledge to understand, confront, and solve cases involving these extraordinary elements.

For example, a detective in a fantasy world might need to adapt their investigation when dealing with a murder seemingly caused by a curse, using both conventional forensic methods and magical knowledge to decipher the crime scene and identify the perpetrator.

In detective fantasy, pragmatic adaptation means detectives must cleverly use both regular investigation methods and the magical or extraordinary elements in their world to solve mysteries effectively. Pragmatic adaptation in detective fiction involves combining traditional elements, like mysterious crimes and solving puzzles, with new and innovative methods. This adaptation ensures that the essential aspects of the genre remain while being updated to resonate with both longtime fans and new audiences.

Pragmatics focuses on examining the meaning conveyed by a speaker and understood by a listener. It allows for understanding implied meanings, assumptions, intentions, and the actions performed by speakers during communication. This method is useful for analyzing impoliteness, especially when expressed through sarcastic remarks. Impoliteness can be an interesting aspect of pragmatic adaptation, especially in the context of communication and interaction. In detective fiction or any storytelling involving investigative or confrontational situations, impoliteness can serve as a tool or reaction employed by characters in response to various situations. Our article discusses how the Sherlock Holmes series embodies pragmatic approaches in detective storytelling.

Case Study: Sherlock Holmes and Modern Adaptations

Arthur Conan Doyle's Sherlock Holmes series serves as a prime example of how pragmatic adaptation has played a role in the genre's longevity. From the original stories set in the late 19th

century to modern adaptations that place Holmes in the digital age, the core characteristics of the detective and his deductive prowess remain intact. Yet, the adaptability of Holmes to various time periods and contexts underscores the genre's ability to embrace change while honoring tradition. Sherlock Holmes has been the subject of numerous adaptations in various mediums, including literature, film, television, and even video games. These adaptations often reimagine the iconic detective and his adventures in different contexts. Let's delve into a case study focusing on the modern adaptations of Sherlock Holmes:

Modern Television Adaptations:

One of the most prominent modern adaptations is the BBC series "Sherlock," created by Steven Moffat and Mark Gatiss. The show, starring Benedict Cumberbatch as Holmes and Martin Freeman as Dr. John Watson, brings the detective into contemporary London. The series showcases Holmes using modern technology, such as smartphones and the internet, to solve crimes. It keeps Sherlock Holmes as a clever but socially awkward detective, making the stories suitable for a modern audience.

Modern Literature Adaptations:

Numerous modern authors have also written new stories featuring Sherlock Holmes. Works by authors such as Anthony Horowitz, Laurie R. King, and others have continued Holmes' adventures, often exploring uncharted periods of his life or delving into his personality beyond what was detailed in Sir Arthur Conan Doyle's original stories.

Analysis of Modern Adaptations:

These modern adaptations showcase how Sherlock Holmes' character and stories remain relevant and adaptable across time periods and cultural contexts. They reinterpret Holmes in ways that suit contemporary storytelling while preserving the core traits that define the character - his deductive skills, eccentricities, and unique approach to solving mysteries. The adaptations often explore Holmes' flaws and vulnerabilities, giving depth to the character beyond the brilliant detective portrayed in the original stories.

Modern adaptations have also introduced diversity in the character portrayals and relationships. For instance, Joan Watson's character in "Elementary" as a female version of Dr. Watson and the exploration of Holmes' struggles with addiction add new dimensions to the traditional depiction of the characters.

"A Study in Scarlet" follows Sherlock Holmes as he solves the murders of Joseph Stangerson and Enoch Drebber. Dr. John Watson, a retired Afghanistan soldier and doctor, narrates the story and joins Holmes in investigating crimes in Victorian England. This analysis focuses on Holmes' deductive abilities, showing how he uses these skills to solve the case. When Watson first meets Holmes, the detective perceptively recognizes Watson's occupation and background, which surprises Watson. Later, Holmes explains how he deduced these details.:

John: We don't know a thing about each other; I don't know where we're meeting, I don't even know your name.

Sherlock: I know you're an army doctor and you've been invalided home from Afghanistan. I know you've got a brother who's worried about you, but you won't go to him for help because you don't approve of him possibly because he's an alcoholic, more likely because he recently walked out on his wife. And I know that your therapist thinks your limp is psychosomatic, quite correctly I'm afraid. That's enough to be going with, don't you think? [Sherlock gives John

a smirk looks and 25 walks out the door, only to lean back into the room]. The name's Sherlock Holmes and the address is 221B Baker Street.

The episode discusses a conversation between John Watson and Sherlock Holmes, where Watson expresses, they don't know much about each other. Watson questions Sherlock's decision for them to live together. In response, Sherlock aims to prove Watson wrong by sharing an excessive amount of information about him. This example was selected to highlight how Sherlock overshared details, disregarding the principle of offering the right amount of information.

The following conversation features a conversation between John and Sherlock where Sherlock impresses John by explaining how he deduced a lot about John during their first meeting. Sherlock provides detailed information, using small clues to understand John better. This excerpt was chosen because it showcases a conversation where Sherlock doesn't break communication norms.

John:That was amazing!Sherlock:Do you think so?John:Of course, it was. It was extraordinary, it was quite extraordinary!Sherlock:That's not what people normally say.John:What do people normally say?Sherlock:"Piss off!"

In the provided dialogue between John and Sherlock, there is a notable example of pragmatic adaptation, where Sherlock's response is quite different from what is conventionally expected.

1. *John's Positive Utterance:* John initiates the conversation by expressing his amazement, stating, "That was amazing!" This is a clear and direct positive evaluation of whatever event or action he is referring to.

2. *Sherlock's Non-Standard Response:* In response, Sherlock's reply, "Do you think so?" is somewhat unexpected. It's a bit detached and ambiguous, and it doesn't acknowledge the compliment in a straightforward manner. This is an example of pragmatic adaptation on Sherlock's part, as he tends to be more analytical and less emotionally expressive.

3. *John's Reinforcement: John*, noting Sherlock's atypical response, attempts to emphasize his appreciation further by repeating, "Of course, it was. It was extraordinary, it was quite extraordinary!" He's trying to make it clear how impressed he is.

4. *Sherlock's Humorous Response:* Sherlock's following statement, "That's not what people normally say," is another instance of pragmatic adaptation. Instead of simply acknowledging the compliment or expressing gratitude, he indirectly challenges John's assertion by implying that people don't typically react in the way John did.

5. *John Seeking Clarification:* John, somewhat puzzled by Sherlock's response, asks, "What do people normally say?" Here, John is attempting to understand the social norm or typical response in this situation, which aligns with the principle of Grice's Cooperative Principle, which involves providing information to ensure effective communication.

6. *Sherlock's Ironic Response:* Sherlock's final statement, "'Piss off!'" is the most significant example of pragmatic adaptation in this exchange. Instead of directly answering John's query about the typical response, he delivers a humorous, ironic response. It contrasts sharply with the positive expression John used at the beginning.

This dialogue illustrates how Sherlock often varies from conventional social norms in his communication. While John offers a straightforward compliment, Sherlock responds with a mix of detachment, humor, and non-standard replies. This contributes to the unique dynamic between the two characters and reflects Sherlock's distinct personality, characterized by his analytical, sometimes eccentric, and socially unconventional nature.

Adaptation in Communication Styles:

In detective narratives, characters often adjust their communication styles based on the situation Adapting in communication might be used strategically to extract information, challenge suspects, or express frustration. Sherlock Holmes, for instance, is known for his blunt and sometimes impolite manner in extracting crucial details from witnesses or suspects, adapting his approach to suit the circumstances.

Let's look at another episode: In the book 'A Study in Scarlet' by Doyle, examples of highlighting, such as 'traces of blood' and 'a nearly used candle,' have been identified, as evidenced in the analysis that follows.

In a message from his friend Gregson at Scotland Yard, inviting Sherlock Holmes to participate in a murder investigation, we can observe a notable emphasis in the following passage. Gregson attaches special importance to the bloodstains in the room and their rationale, specifically pointing them out in his message while overlooking other details about the murder. However, it becomes clear later that these bloodstains lack real significance. They are highlighted as a deliberate misdirection tactic used by a character in the novel to mislead the reader, as the murderer employs them to confuse the police. This instance underscores the importance of considering the pragmatic aspects of communication and the impact of selective information sharing:

"My dear Mr. Sherlock Holmes: "There has been a bad business during the night at 3, Lauriston Gardens, off the Brixton Road. Our man on the beat saw a light there about two in the morning, and as the house was an empty one, suspected that something was amiss. He found the door open, and in the front room, which is bare of furniture, discovered the body of a gentleman, well dressed, and having cards in his pocket bearing the name of 'Enoch J. Drebber, Cleveland, Ohio, U.S.A.' There had been no robbery, nor is there any evidence as to how the man met his death. There are marks of blood in the room, but there is no wound upon his person. We are at a loss as to how he came into the empty house; indeed, the whole affair is a puzzler. If you can come round to the house any time before twelve, you will find me there. I have left everything in statu quo until I hear from you. If you are unable to come, I shall give you fuller details, and would esteem it a great kindness if you would favour me with your opinion". (p.13)

In the provided excerpt from Arthur Conan Doyle's "A Study in Scarlet," Dr. John Watson writes a letter to Sherlock Holmes, detailing a mysterious incident involving a dead man found in an empty house. The analysis of pragmatic adaptation in this text lies in the way Dr. Watson communicates the information and seeks Sherlock Holmes' assistance:

1. *Informative and Solicitous Tone:* Watson's letter to Holmes is informative and carries an undertone of seeking assistance. He provides a detailed account of the situation, describing the discovery of a dead man, Enoch J. Drebber, and the perplexing circumstances surrounding the incident. The urgency in the letter is evident as Watson requests Holmes to visit the scene as soon as possible.

2. *Cooperative Principle:* Watson adheres to the cooperative principle in communication by providing relevant and necessary information for Holmes to effectively understand the

situation. He leaves everything undisturbed and assures Holmes that he will be waiting for his arrival.

3. *Use of Formal Language:* The language used by Watson is formal and respectful, addressing Holmes as "Mr. Sherlock Holmes." This is indicative of the professional relationship between the two and shows Watson's deference to Holmes' expertise.

4. *Clarity and Conciseness:* Watson's communication is clear and concise. He lays out the facts of the case, describing the key details in a manner that allows Holmes to grasp the situation quickly and accurately.

This excerpt displays pragmatic adaptation in the form of clear, informative communication. Watson effectively conveys the urgency and complexity of the situation, respecting Holmes' skills and seeking his opinion and assistance in resolving the mysterious circumstances surrounding the death of Enoch J. Drebber.

In Arthur Conan Doyle's narrative style, all the novels and short stories, including the ones under study, are recounted by a character—Dr. Watson, who is Holmes' closest companion. This narrative approach involves an external perspective using first-person pronouns. Dr. Watson presents events moderately, accurately describing what he witnesses and faithfully reporting others' words. However, he strategically conceals certain details, such as mystery solutions or character identities, revealing them only towards the stories' conclusions. At times, he even expresses his emotions. Short stories limit the author's space for emotional disclosure, but in novels, authors have more liberty to do so. Specifically, "The Hound of The Baskervilles" is one such novel where the narrator's emotions, viewpoints, and feelings are openly depicted. Dr. Watson recurrently expresses suspicions about the butler, Barrymore, as previously indicated. The subsequent excerpts highlight moments when his emotions are revealed:

- "I confess at these words a shudder passed through me." (p.16)

- "As I entered, however, my fears were set at rest, for it was the acrid fumes of strong coarse tobacco which took me by the throat and set me coughing." (p.21)

- "The promise of adventure had always a fascination for me, and I was complimented by the words of Holmes and by the eagerness with which the baronet hailed me as a companion." (p.41)

- "The journey was a swift and pleasant one, and I spent it in making the more intimate acquaintance of my two companions and in playing with Dr. Mortimer's spaniel." (p.47)

- "and the bright paper and numerous candles did something to remove the somber impression which our arrival had left upon my mind." (p.52)

- "I found myself weary and yet wakeful, tossing restlessly from side to side, seeking for the sleep which would not come." (p.53)

- "The fresh beauty of the following morning did something to efface from our minds the grim and gray impression which had been left upon both of us by our first experience of Baskerville Hall." (p.54)

- "It was a pleasant walk of four miles along the edge of the moor" (p.55)

These excerpts from "The Hound of the Baskervilles" showcase instances where the narrator, Dr. Watson, adapts his communication style to convey various emotions, perceptions, and experiences.

Emotion and Sensation: The phrase "a shudder passed through me" reveals Dr. Watson's emotional response, indicating a sudden feeling of fear or apprehension.

Sensory Description: Describing the tobacco smoke as "the acrid fumes of strong coarse tobacco which took me by the throat" provides a sensory detail that not only conveys physical discomfort but also a sense of foreboding or unease.

Emotional Reaction: Watson's comment about being complimented by Holmes and the baronet's eagerness highlights his reaction to praise and his enthusiasm for adventure, adapting his communication to express his feelings of flattery and excitement.

Social Interaction and Personal Interest. Watson reflects on enjoying the journey by getting to know his companions and playing with Dr. Mortimer's spaniel. This shows his interest in companionship and his amiable nature.

Mood and Environment: Describing the room with "bright paper and numerous candles" and the effect they have in dispelling the gloomy impression indicates his sensitivity to the surroundings and how they affect his mood.

Physical Discomfort: The line "tossing restlessly from side to side, seeking for the sleep which would not come" conveys his physical discomfort and insomnia, adapting his language to communicate his restlessness.

Transformation of Mood: Dr. Watson's reflection on the beauty of the morning changing their initial grim impression of Baskerville Hall indicates an emotional shift, adapting his communication to reflect their changed perception.

Descriptive and Observational: The description of the "pleasant walk of four miles along the edge of the moor" serves as a concise observation of the surroundings, indicating a more factual and descriptive form of communication.

In these excerpts, Dr. Watson adapts his communication style to convey emotions, physical sensations, social interactions, and environmental impressions, showcasing the variety of feelings and experiences throughout the narrative.

Final Thoughts. In this article, we intended to identify the primary speech characters demonstrated by Sherlock Holmes by analyzing how he communicates based on the functions of speech in pragmatic adaptation. Sherlock Holmes' way of speaking relies on speech intentions (pragmatic functions) that are adjusted to fit different communicative situations. The article examines three distinct types of these situations, finding that Sherlock consistently employs speech motives such as informing, influencing the listener, seeking information, encouraging action (motivation), managing communication and social exchanges, and asserting dominance.

In detective stories, pragmatic changes keep evolving. The genre doesn't forget its traditions but explores diverse cultures, blends genres, and tries new storytelling methods. Authors keep stretching the limits of what makes a detective tale. As readers, we're in an exciting time where detective fiction is growing in surprising ways. The art of solving mysteries, thanks to pragmatic adjustments, stays thrilling and promising, offering endless chances for intrigue and discovery.

REFERENCES

- 1. Andre, D., & Fernand, G. (2008). Sherlock Holmes-an expert's view of expertise. British Journal of Psychology, 99(1), 106-120.
- 2. Aditya, Briant. (2014). Pragmatics Analysis of Presupposition in Grenndy Tartakovskys's Hotel Transylvania. Yogyakarta: Yogyakarta State University.

- 3. Bousfield, D., and Locher, Miriam A. (2008). Impoliteness in Language: Studies on Its Interplay with Power in Theory and Practice. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.
- 4. Christie, A. (1926). The Murder of Roger Ackroyd. Harper Collins Publishers.
- 5. Cruse, A. (2000). Meaning in language: An introduction to semantics and pragmatics. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- 6. Doyle, A. C. (Various publication dates). Sherlock Holmes series
- 7. Doyle, A.C.(2008). A Study In Scarlet. Gutenberg.
- 8. Kulish A. R. Speech personality: psycholinguistic aspect (the case of speech behaviour of Sherlock Holmes). Studia Philologica
- 9. Larsson, S. (2008). The Girl with the Dragon Tattoo. Vintage Crime/Black Lizard.
- 10. Lehane, D. (2003). Shutter Island. HarperCollins Publishers.
- 11. Leon, D. (Various publication dates). Commissario Guido Brunetti series.
- 12. "L.A. Noire" (Video Game). Developed by Team Bondi. Rockstar Games.
- 13. Miéville, C. (2009). The City & The City. Del Rey.
- Niyazova G.G. (2023). Concept of discourse in Modern Linguistics. International journal of Trend in Scientific Research and Development. ID: IJTSRD57377: www.ijtsrd.com e-ISSN: 2456 – 6470.
- Niyazova G.G. (2023). Pragmatic analysis of Language elements in detective fiction. Vol. 18. <u>https://www.scholarexpress.net</u>
- 16. "CSI: Crime Scene Investigation" (TV Series). Created by Anthony E. Zuiker. CBS Productions.
- 17. Redmond, Christopher. (2009). Sherlock Holmes Handbook. Toronto: Dundurn.
- 18. Sperber, D. and Wilson, D. (1986). Relevance: communication and cognition. Oxford: Basil Blackwell.
- 19. In-English online. Sherlock in the original version. https://watch.in-english.online/sherlock/