

CINEMATIC EXPLORATIONS OF ENVIRONMENTAL CATASTROPHES: A COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF “THE IMPOSSIBLE” AND “THE DAY AFTER TOMORROW”

Shokirova Makhbubakhon

Central Asian Medical University, Ph.D., Fergana City, Uzbekistan

<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10492838>

Abstract. *This film study looks at the portrayal of environmental disaster in two different disaster films, namely "The Impossible" and "The Day After Tomorrow". Through a critical examination of narrative structures, visual symbolism and character dynamics, the paper explores the nuanced ways in which these films navigate the delicate balance between entertainment, education and ethical considerations. The analysis highlights the pros and cons of each film and considers their contributions to the broader discourse on environmental concerns and human resilience in the context of disaster cinema. The study also highlights the evolving landscape of cinematic representation and calls for a more responsible and nuanced approach to the portrayal of environmental disasters.*

Keywords: *environmental disasters, disaster films, cinematic representation, comparative analysis, ethical considerations.*

Introduction. This study examines the impact of climate change and natural disasters on people's lives and their consequences for political and economic progress. Using the films "The Day After Tomorrow" and "The Impossible", we examine the cinematic strategies used to address global environmental challenges and their impact on social perception. Our investigation addresses the effectiveness of cinematic storytelling in conveying the complexity of climate disasters, ethical considerations in depicting real-life tragedies for entertainment purposes, and the overall impact of such narratives on public awareness and understanding.

Taking into account the existing discourse on environmental issues in cinema, we draw insights from seminal works that have paved the way for discussions on the intersection of film, science and social consciousness. Striking a balance between dramatic effect and scientific accuracy, we scrutinize “The Day After Tomorrow” for its speculative portrayal of extreme climate change, questioning its role as an educational tool and its responsibility to disseminate accurate information.

At the same time, our analysis extends to the deeply personal narrative of "The Impossible", exploring the potential limitations of its Western-centric approach and the impact on the predominantly Asian victims of the 2004 Indian Ocean tsunami. We aim to contribute to the ongoing dialogue about the role of cinema in shaping public perceptions of environmental issues. Through a clear organization, we guide the reader from a global perspective to specific cinematic examples, ensuring a logical flow throughout the paper. Our goal is to provide a comprehensive understanding of how these films navigate the delicate balance between entertainment, education, and ethical considerations to foster critical discussions about our collective response to environmental crises.

The selected films, "The Day After Tomorrow" and "The Impossible", share the same disaster theme but differ in their settings and themes. This research aims to decipher thematic

elements common to both films and highlight their contributions to the broader discourse on environmental concerns and human resilience in the context of disaster cinema. Through an analysis of narrative structures, visual symbolism and character dynamics, this study seeks to uncover the nuanced ways in which these films interweave cinematic spectacle with an exploration of human vulnerability in the face of overwhelming natural forces.

Disaster Theme of the Movies. In "The Day After Tomorrow", the theme of catastrophe unfolds as a speculative exploration of extreme climate change, skillfully using creative freedom to heighten the dramatic effect. While the film takes liberties with the scientific facts, its main achievement is to raise awareness of potential climate-related disasters without committing to strict realism.

In contrast, "The Impossible" is based on the authentic account of the 2004 Indian Ocean tsunami and meticulously weaves a narrative based on real events. This approach, which contains no speculative elements, underlines the film's focus on the vulnerability of coastlines and the devastating effects of a natural disaster.

Viewed from a global perspective, "The Day After Tomorrow" intricately follows a series of characters as they navigate an environmental crisis, skillfully blending scientific discourse with personal stories. The film thus emphasizes the interconnectedness of humanity on a global scale and presents the catastrophe as a shared experience that transcends individual boundaries.

In contrast, "The Impossible" zooms into a very personal narrative, focusing on the struggle for survival of a single family amidst the chaos of the tsunami. This narrative style amplifies the emotional toll of the disasters and emphasizes the impact on individuals and their relationships, rather than attempting a comprehensive global overview.

Both films highlight the resilience of the human spirit in the face of adversity. "The Day After Tomorrow" argues for collective action and global co-operation as crucial tools for tackling environmental problems, presenting the disaster as a catalyst for collective efforts on a grand scale. In contrast, "The Impossible" explores the strength of familial bonds and the extraordinary ability of strangers to band together in times of crisis. The film explores the psychological and emotional consequences of surviving a disaster and paints a nuanced portrait of human bonds forged in the crucible of adversity.

"The Day After Tomorrow" captivates audiences through its spectacle, using state-of-the-art visual effects to depict major climate-related disasters. Although the film's visual elements emphasize the severity of the environment, they serve a dual purpose by providing a cinematic experience that leaves a deep impression on the viewer.

Conversely, "The Impossible" authentically depicts the emotional shock of a tsunami through cinematography and precise practical effects. The realistic visuals enhance the emotional impact and emphasize the profound loss of life that accompanies the aftermath of natural disasters, without sacrificing authenticity or falling into sensationalism.

Genre of Movies and Cause of Disaster. "The Day After Tomorrow" attributes the main cause of the catastrophe to man-made climate change, which is exacerbated by extreme weather events. Despite taking creative liberties in depicting the speed and scale of these changes, the film serves as a cautionary tale, highlighting the potential consequences of negligence towards the environment.

In contrast, "The Impossible" authentically reconstructs the cause of the disaster — a colossal underwater earthquake that triggered a devastating tsunami. The film is based on the real events of 2004 and shows the sheer destructive power that natural disasters unleash.

While "The Day After Tomorrow" contains a personal drama, the genre is more focused on the impact on the world and science. By mixing disaster film with social criticism, the film underlines the urgency of global action to contain environmental risks.

Conversely, "The Impossible" interweaves personal stories with the larger disaster and places the human experience at the center. The subgenre of survival drama heightens the emotional impact by emphasizing bravery and the power of relationships in adversity.

Do films like "The Day After Tomorrow" and "The Impossible" contribute to or exploit people's fears of disaster?

In my opinion, films influence our emotional state and promote self-awareness by differentiating between the inner and outer worlds portrayed on screen. In relation to catastrophic events, films have the power to manipulate fears in a controlled environment. The articles by Mariona Portell and Etienne Mullet on "Why Do People Enjoy Watching Natural Disasters and Human Violence on TV?" (Why Do People Enjoy Watching Natural Disasters and Human Violence on TV?) agree with this perspective and confirm that disaster films evoke fear.

The articles discuss escapism and catharsis, noting that disaster films provide viewers with a form of escapism by temporarily distancing them from the uncertainties of real life. This serves as a coping mechanism that allows for an indirect provocation of fears and a cathartic release of emotions without actual danger.

The concept of emotional resonance is emphasized. Cinematic representations of catastrophic events utilize human emotions and encourage empathy and identification with the characters in immediate danger. Studies indicate a correlation between the strength of a dramatic experience and viewer fascination, suggesting heightened emotions, including anxiety.

Exploring anxiety related to catastrophic events through film experiences thus reveals a complex interaction of psychological, emotional and social aspects. Understanding film techniques that stimulate and manipulate viewers' anxieties offers insights into the human psyche and the role of entertainment in managing our darkest fears. The evolving relationship between viewers and the fears expressed in disaster narratives reflects the dynamic nature of film representations.

Discussion. The examination of "The Day After Tomorrow" and "The Impossible" reveals a complex interplay of cinematic narrative, social considerations and ethical considerations. Although both films deal with the subject of disasters, they pursue different approaches that invite closer examination and different perspectives.

An important aspect of the discussion is the depiction of disasters on a global scale. "The Day After Tomorrow" uses speculative scenarios of extreme climate change and raises questions about the balance between dramatic effect and scientific accuracy. Critics argue that the film's departure from climate science principles could undermine its potential as a teaching tool, while supporters point to its role in raising public awareness of environmental issues, albeit in a sensationalist way.

In contrast, "The Impossible" focuses on a deeply personal story in the midst of a natural disaster and emphasizes the emotional toll on the individual. The criticism here revolves around the film's Western-centric perspective, which potentially eclipses the experiences of the mainly

Asian victims. While the subgenre of survival drama heightens the emotional impact, the question arises as to whether the survivors' guilt is oversimplified for narrative reasons.

The characterizations in both films are critically scrutinized. Critics point to the superficiality of the protagonists and the missed opportunity for a nuanced exploration of human responses to environmental problems. While the linear narrative structures of both films are praised for their emotional impact, they are also criticized for their predictability and adherence to the norms of the genre.

Scientific accuracy is a major point of criticism, especially in "The Day After Tomorrow", where creative liberties with climate science could compromise the film's role as an informational film. This triggers a debate about the responsibility of filmmakers in dealing with real issues and the potential impact on public perception.

Ethical concerns are an important part of the discussion, particularly with regard to the use of real-life tragedies for entertainment. Critics question whether it is appropriate to turn real human suffering into a cinematic spectacle for profit, potentially trivializing the deep grief of those affected. The opposing side, on the other hand, sees cinema as a potential medium for empathy and education, provided it deals responsibly with such sensitive topics.

The discussion thus emphasizes the complexity of the cinematic approach to disaster themes. Analyzing these films encourages reflection on the responsibility of filmmakers, the potential impact on social perception and the delicate balance between entertainment, education and ethical considerations. As cinema continues to grapple with the depiction of real-world challenges, dialogue about these films fosters a nuanced understanding of their role in shaping public discourse and awareness.

Criticism. Some critics argue that "The Impossible" focuses on a Western family, potentially limiting its depiction of the tsunami's wider impact. The decision to place a wealthy family at the center of the narrative has been criticized for its possible tendency towards sensationalism, particularly in the depiction of the tsunami. Concerns were expressed about the dramatic effect of using a real disaster and the ethical boundaries of such a narrative were questioned.

The film, which primarily depicts the ordeal of a British family during the tsunami, has been criticized for its Western-centric perspective, which may overshadow the experiences of the mainly Asian victims. Critics believe that the film oversimplifies the complex issue of survivor's guilt and turns it into a conventional and easily digestible plot element, sacrificing emotional depth for cinematic convenience. While acknowledging the emotional impact of the film, commentators criticize the predictability and linear narrative structure of the film. They note that the reunion of the family is predictable from the outset and thus follows traditional narrative patterns.

Scientific inaccuracies are a recurring point of criticism, particularly in "The Day After Tomorrow", where the film takes liberties with climate science. This deviation from scientific accuracy leads some critics to classify the film as fiction rather than a scientifically based exploration of environmental issues.

Critics criticize the protagonists of both films for their perceived superficiality and simplicity and call for a more nuanced exploration of the ways in which individuals respond to environmental challenges. The lack of depth in the portrayal of the characters prevents the films from offering a more comprehensive examination of human responses to complex environmental problems.

The films are criticized for portraying governments and organizations in a clichéd and one-dimensional way, oversimplifying geopolitical and political solutions to environmental problems. This simplification could undermine the credibility of the films when it comes to addressing the complexity of environmental problems.

Both films are accused of being predictable and sticking to the standard formula of a disaster film rather than providing unique insights. The decisions made by the characters and the events that unfold are perceived as conforming to the norms of the genre, diminishing the potential for groundbreaking perspectives.

While "The Day After Tomorrow" introduces the concept of climate change, critics argue that it does so in an overly dramatic and speculative manner. Conversely, "The Impossible" is criticized for failing to explore the wider environmental impact of the natural disaster, missing the opportunity to address the complex facets of the environmental issue.

Critics complain that both films emphasize individual tragedies over structural problems, potentially preventing a broader discussion on environmental policy, preparedness and international cooperation. The emphasis on family dynamics and individual survival, while emotionally engaging, may unintentionally sideline important discussions about systemic issues. There is a fierce ethical discourse about the moral permissibility of using real-life tragedies for entertainment purposes. Critics argue vehemently that the exploitation of real-life tragedies for cinematic profit enters ethically questionable territory, with the possible consequence that the profound grief of those directly affected is diminished. This view is based on the conviction that the commercialization of real human suffering by the entertainment industry carries the risk of devaluing the gravity of real disasters and turning them into mere spectacles for consumption. Critics argue that such depictions, by detaching themselves from the nuanced realities and complexity of actual events, inadvertently contribute to a desensitization of audiences and promote a distorted perception of the pain and anguish of those who have experienced such tragedies.

In contrast, some argue that cinema as an art form has the ability to serve as a platform for empathy and understanding. According to this view, films that address real-life tragedies can raise awareness, stimulate meaningful conversations and even inspire collective action. Advocates believe that the cinematic portrayal of tragic events can help educate, commemorate and preserve the memory of those affected, rather than trivialize their experiences, if handled with sensitivity and a concern for historical accuracy. They argue that well-crafted narratives can engage audiences emotionally, foster a sense of shared humanity and encourage viewers to reflect on the wider societal impact of such events.

However, a middle-of-the-road perspective recognizes the potential for both positive and negative impact and acknowledges that the ethical implications of using real-life tragedies in entertainment are complex and multi-layered.

This stance emphasizes the importance of responsible storytelling and the need for filmmakers to approach such sensitive subjects with a heightened sense of ethical responsibility. It suggests that while cinematic interpretations can be a powerful tool for education and emotional connection, they must be executed with the utmost respect for the authenticity of the narratives and a deep understanding of the impact these representations can have on individuals and communities.

This nuanced perspective invites careful consideration of the intentions behind such cinematic endeavors and the potential consequences of blurring the lines between art, entertainment and the lived experiences of those affected by tragedy.

Conclusion. Viewing environmental disasters through the lens of "The Impossible" and "The Day After Tomorrow" provides a nuanced understanding of the challenges, subtleties and potential impact of depicting real-life tragedies on screen. These films skillfully navigate the intersection between entertainment, narrative and the urgency of addressing pressing environmental issues, offering a sophisticated exploration of their merits and drawbacks.

Both films stand out for their special qualities. "The Impossible", with its poignant portrayal of a family's struggle for survival and its emotional resonance, masterfully anchors the narrative in the human drama following a devastating tsunami. In contrast, "The Day After Tomorrow" captivates with its visually stunning spectacle, coupled with its attempt to convey environmental messages and spark conversations about global responsibility and climate change.

However, the emphasis on spectacle and individual drama raises questions about the depth of exploration of systemic problems and the potential contribution of these films to discussions about environmental policy, preparedness and international co-operation.

The cinematic exploration of environmental disasters highlights the delicate balance that filmmakers must strike between artistic expression, entertainment and moral responsibility. While these films serve as cultural artefacts that reflect society's anxieties, a more responsible and nuanced approach to the portrayal of environmental disasters is increasingly imperative. This critical reflection encourages future researchers and filmmakers to reflect on the broader implications and impact of cinematic representations and to advocate for a commitment to accuracy, cultural sensitivity and a deep engagement with the complexities of environmental challenges. As the potential of cinema to promote awareness, compassion and action in response to pressing environmental issues evolves, a concurrent development of ethical storytelling practices is essential.

Looking ahead. As we look to the future of cinematic representation of environmental catastrophe, the exploration of ethical storytelling practices will be of paramount importance. "The Impossible" and "The Day After Tomorrow" serve as key case studies that prompt us to reflect on the wider implications and potential progress.

In terms of representation, the evolving landscape calls for a more responsible portrayal of environmental disasters. Future filmmakers and researchers in this field need to consider the power they have in shaping public perception and awareness. The call for accuracy, cultural sensitivity and a deeper engagement with the multi-layered aspects of environmental challenges is not just a suggestion, but a mandate for ethical storytelling.

A comparison within the English language and literature invites a critical evaluation of the unique qualities these films bring to the discourse. Moving forward, scholars should engage in nuanced exploration of systemic problems that transcend spectacle and individual drama. This critical perspective will ensure that future contributions to the field go beyond the surface and make significant advances in discussions of environmental policy, preparedness and international co-operation.

In transferring cinematic narratives to the academic and social spheres, the potential impact of these films on shaping attitudes, inspiring compassion and promoting action cannot be underestimated. The field of English Studies is in a position to play a leading role in analyzing

these cultural artefacts, gaining profound insights and guiding future efforts in the field of environmental communication. Translating the language of film into a scholarly discourse that resonates with a global audience is key to fostering an informed and engaged society.

As we look to the future, English language and literature stands at the intersection of cultural analysis, ethical considerations and social impact. It is our responsibility to pave the way for a new era of cinematic representation that not only entertains, but also educates, inspires and galvanizes us into a collective response to the environmental challenges of our time.

REFERENCES

1. Portell, M., & Mullet, E. (2014). Why do people enjoy watching natural disasters and human violence on television? A reversal theory perspective. *Journal of Motivation, Emotion, and Personality*, 2(1), 38-49. DOI: 10.12689/jmep.2014.205
2. Hansen, B., Østerhus, S., Quadfasel, D., & Turrell, W. (2004). Already the day after tomorrow?. *Science*, 305(5686), 953-954.
3. Neergaard, I. K. (2022). “We’re all 100% for sure gonna fucking die!”: Comparison of Apocalyptic Portrayals in *The Day After Tomorrow* (2004) and *Don’t Look Up* (2021).
4. Zairjanovna, S. D., Tulaboevna, T. G., Mirsharapovna, S. Z., & Allovidinovna, I. D. (2022). FEATURES OF TRANSLATION OF MOVIE TITLE FROM ENGLISH TO UZBEK. *Journal of new century innovations*, 18(3), 179-185.
5. Visser, F. P. (2013). The Impossible, JA Bayona: movie review. *African Journal of Psychiatry*, 16(5), 372.
6. Bowles, S. (2004, May 26). “‘The Day After Tomorrow’ heats a political debate: Storm of opinion rains down merits of disaster movie.” USA Today. <https://usatoday30.usatoday.com/educate/college/firstyear/articles/20040530.htm>.
7. IMDb. (2004, May 28). *The Day After Tomorrow*. Retrieved from <https://www.imdb.com/title/tt0319262/>
8. Wikipedia. (2023, November 29). *The Impossible* (2012 Film). Retrieved from [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/The_Impossible_\(2012_film\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/The_Impossible_(2012_film))
9. Wikipedia. (2023, December 18). Natural Disaster. Retrieved from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Natural_disaster